United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,995	09/30/2003	Xiaofan Lin	200300230-1	7245
	7590 09/24/200° CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
	NS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/676,995	LIN, XIAOFAN		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		William J. Deane	2614		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	•			
Application Papers					
10) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 16 - 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,643,622 (Stuart et al.).

With respect to claims 1 and 16, see Abstract, Col. 4, 13 – 62, Col. 5, lines 12 – 15, lines 31 – 34, lines 48 – 50 and lines 54 – 62, Col 7, lines 6 – 16 and Col. 7, lines 52 – 57.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2614

Claims 2 – 13, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stuart et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,687 (Bohacek et al.).

Stuart does not specifically recite an IVR, but does recite the use of an ACD and an ARU as noted in the above cited Cols. However, Bohacek et al. show that the use of IVRs is old in the art (see Col. 1, line 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such an IVR as disclosed by Bohacek et al. into the Stuart et al. device, as such would only entail the substitution of one interactive means for another.

With respect to claim 3, note Col. 2, lines 47 – 58 and Col. 3, lines 1 – Col. 4, line 8 of Bohacek et al.

With respect o claim 4, note Col. 5, lines 22 – 24 of Stuart et al.

With respect to claims 5 – 13, all such limitations are found in Bohacek et al.

Note the Mood detector, word detector, speaker characteristic, previous data database and mood logic in Fig 1. of Bohacek et al.

With respect to claim 15, note the rejections above.

With respect to claim 18, storing the dialog is well-known in the art and it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store whatever information was deemed necessary.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 7,224,790 (Bushey et al.) - note Abstract and Figs.; and

Application/Control Number: 10/676,995 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

U.S. Patent Application No. 2004/0264677 (Horvitz et al.) – note Abstract and Figs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

14Sep2007

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER